

# Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

# 2017 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York
NYSDEC ID # 1-30-003A

March 30, 2018

Millian Jull

Matthew Bell Task Manager

Paul Martorano, PE Senior Engineer | New York PE-088403

Christopher Engler, PE Engineer of Record | New York PE-069748

aristophy D. Engles

Paul Martin

Carlo Son Giovann.

Carlo San Giovanni Project Manager

## 2017 ANNUAL SUMMARY REPORT

Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Report for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System

Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York NYSDEC ID # 1-30-003A

Prepared for:

Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation

Prepared by:

Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Two Huntington Quadrangle

Suite 1S10

Melville

New York 11747

Tel 631 249 7600

Fax 631 249 7610

Our Ref.:

NY001496.33TM

Date:

March 30, 2018

This document is intended only for the use of the individual or entity for which it was prepared and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Any dissemination, distribution or copying of this document is strictly prohibited.

## CONTENTS

1	Introd	duction		
2	Bethr	oage Park S	Soil Gas Containment System Objectives	2
3	Bethp	oage Park S	Soil Gas Containment System Description	2
4	Opera	ation and M	laintenance Activities	3
	4.1	Summary o	f O&M Completed During the Annual Reporting Period	3
	4.2 I	Performanc	e Evaluation	4
	4.3 (	Conclusions	s and Recommendations for O&M	4
5	Monit	toring		4
	5.1	Summary o	f Monitoring Completed	4
	5.2	Summary o	f Monitoring Results	5
	5	.2.1 Cont	tainment System Performance Monitoring	5
		5.2.1.1	Annual Reporting Period System Operating Parameters	5
		5.2.1.2	Vapor Screening	5
	5	.2.2 Cont	tainment System Compliance Monitoring	5
		5.2.2.1	System Operating Parameters	5
		5.2.2.2	Vapor Sample	5
		5.2.2.3	Condensate Sample	6
	5	.2.3 Air E	Emissions Model	6
6	Conc	lusions and	Recommendations	7
	6.1	Conclusions	S	7
	6.2 I	Recommen	dations	8
7	Certif	ication		9
8	Refer	ences		10

## TABLES

Table 1	General System Operating Parameters
Table 2	Induced Vacuum Readings at Compliance Monitoring Points
Table 3	Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Table 4	Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results, Tentatively Identified Compounds
Table 5	Air Quality Impacts Analysis

### **FIGURES**

Figure 1	Site Location Map
Figure 2	General Site Plan and Monitoring Well Vacuum Measurements, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Figure 3	Process Flow Diagram, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Figure 4	Soil Gas TVOC Concentrations
Figure 5	Cumulative Total, Project and Non-Project VOC Mass Removed
Figure 6	VOC Mass Recovery Rates

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

Pursuant to the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) Index #W1-0018-04-01 (New York State Department of Environmental Conservation [NYSDEC] 2005) and the Operable Unit 3 (OU3) Record of Decision (NYSDEC 2013), Arcadis of New York, Inc. (Arcadis), on behalf of Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation (Northrop Grumman), has prepared this OU3 Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System (BPSGCS) Annual Summary Report for submittal to the NYSDEC. The present-day Bethpage Community Park property (Park), the McKay Field, and Plant 24 Access Road, which the NYSDEC has termed the "Former Grumman Settling Ponds Area" and designated as OU3, are referred to herein as the Site Area. Figure 1 provides a Site Area location map.

The BPSGCS (previously referred to as the Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure [IRM]) has operated since February 18, 2008. The operation, maintenance, and monitoring (OM&M) activities performed during 2017 (i.e., January 1 through December 31, 2017 [the "annual reporting period"]) are summarized in this Annual Summary Report. Data summaries for the previous three 2017 operational quarterly periods are available in the following letter reports:

- Results of First Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, May 2017 (Arcadis 2017a)
- Results of Second Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, August 2017 (Arcadis 2017b)
- Results of Third Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, November 2017 (Arcadis 2017c)

During 2017, the BPSGCS system OM&M was conducted in accordance with the NYSDEC-approved OU3 Soil Gas IRM OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2016) and the NYSDEC-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (Arcadis 2014).

As discussed in the Remedial Investigation Report (RI Report), [Arcadis 2011], Northrop Grumman does not take responsibility for certain compounds (e.g., Freon 12 and Freon 22) present in the Site Area. Throughout this report, a distinction is made between the "Project" and "Non-project" volatile organic compounds (VOCs), which are defined as follows:

- <u>Project VOCs</u>: VOCs that may be related to former Northrop Grumman historical activities. For this report, Project VOCs include 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.
- <u>Non-project VOCs</u>: VOCs, such as Freon 12 and Freon 22, which are understood to be unrelated to former Northrop Grumman activities but have been detected in the Site Area. As noted in the RI Report, a groundwater sub-plume of Freon 22 has been identified originating from the area of the Town of Oyster Bay's (Town's) former ice rink. Based on Town information (Zervos 2007), Freon 22 was used by the Town and released to the environment.

# 2 BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM OBJECTIVES

The remedial action objectives (RAOs) of the BPSGCS are as follows:

- To mitigate the off-site migration of Project VOCs in the on-site soil gas through the implementation of a soil gas containment system installed along the Plant 24 Access Road and McKay Field Access Road, south and west of the Park, respectively, and;
- To comply with applicable NYSDEC Standards, Criteria, and Guidelines (SCGs)

The compliance objectives of the BPSGCS are as follows:

- To mitigate the off-site migration of soil gas, the system was designed to maintain

   -0.1 inch of water column (iwc) within a negative pressure curtain established within the vadose zone along the Plant 24 Access Road and along the McKay Field Access Road, from the boundary of the Plant 24 Access Road to approximately 400 feet north along the MacKay Field Access Road, based on a 12-month rolling average.
- To treat extracted vapors until it is demonstrated that all VOCs in the influent (untreated) vapor stream are present at concentrations lower than the NYSDEC Division of Air Resources Guide-1 (DAR-1) Annual Guidance Concentrations (AGCs) on a 12-month rolling average and Short-term Guidance Concentrations (SGCs) for any given grab sample (NYSDEC 2016). On December 29, 2008, NYSDEC approved removal of vapor phase treatment (NYSDEC 2008).
- To manage condensate via one of the following two methods: (1) collect and convey condensate to
  the Town of Oyster Bay's Cedar Creek treatment facility via the Nassau County Department of Public
  Works (NCDPW) sanitary sewer, in accordance with the requirements set forth by the NCDPW
  (NCDPW 2007, 2008), or (2) collect and convey to the Bethpage Park Groundwater Containment
  System (BPGWCS) treatment system that discharges treated groundwater to the NCDPW recharge
  basins west of the site.

# 3 BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Following review and approval of the Soil Gas IRM 95% Design Report and Design Drawings by the NYSDEC (Arcadis 2007b), the design package was finalized and the BPSGCS constructed. A general site plan (Figure 2) shows the treatment building, which houses the major process equipment, including two 20-horsepower (hp) and one 30 hp regenerative-type depressurization blowers, and three 52-gallon moisture separators and associated transfer pumps. Remaining system components are located outside the treatment building and include one 33-foot tall by 16-inch diameter effluent stack, the heat exchanger, the 18 depressurization wells, and the 47 induced vacuum monitoring wells, also shown on Figure 2. Monitoring well vacuum measurements collected during 2017 are also provided on Figure 2. A process

flow diagram that shows sampling and monitoring locations is provided as Figure 3. A detailed description of the system and a complete set of record drawings are provided in the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2016).

#### 4 OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES

The following sections summarize the routine and non-routine operation and maintenance (O&M) activities completed during the annual reporting period (Section 4.1); the performance evaluation of the BPSGCS (Section 4.2); and the conclusions and recommendations regarding O&M for the BPSGCS (Section 4.3).

### 4.1 Summary of O&M Completed During the Annual Reporting Period

The O&M of the BPSGCS was conducted in accordance with the OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2016a), and consisted of the following routine maintenance/activities:

- Continuous monitoring of system performance parameters by the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system.
- Weekly site checks to monitor and record key process parameters to evaluate system operation, to
  assess whether a process parameter has changed or is out of range, and to provide information that
  may be helpful to identify and/or troubleshoot an operational concern.
- Quarterly monitoring events to monitor and record key process parameters (including induced vacuums), to confirm proper system operation, make adjustments as needed, and to collect vapor samples to demonstrate operational compliance. A summary of the quarterly monitoring data collected for the BPSGCS is provided in Tables 1, 2, 3 and 4.
- Routine maintenance of equipment was generally performed in accordance with the manufacturers' specifications as needed.
- Maintenance of equipment and system components in response to alarm conditions or system
  parameters operating outside of their normal operating ranges. These conditions did not have a
  significant impact on system performance and have been proactively addressed to minimize system
  downtime.

During the annual reporting period, condensate removal was conducted during routine BPSGCS maintenance. Collected condensate was treated at the BPGWCS and discharged along with the treated groundwater to the NCDPW recharge basins east of the site. As of 2015, condensate removal is conducted, as needed, by manipulating manifold vacuums and flow rates for brief periods of time. This process does not entirely vacate the below grade lines of condensate, though it enables the system to maintain adequate flow and vacuum at the manifolds without requiring a vacuum truck and a full day shutdown event.

The following non-routine activities occurred during the annual reporting period:

- Non-routine system shutdown required between July 25 and July 28, 2017 to accommodate vapor intrusion sampling at the former Plant 24 building.
- Non-routine system shutdown required between December 26, and December 29, 2017 to accommodate additional vapor intrusion sampling at the former Plant 24 building.

#### 4.2 Performance Evaluation

The OU3 BPSGCS operated continuously during the annual reporting period with the exception of brief shutdown events for routine and non-routine system maintenance. An operational summary of the depressurization wells, monitoring wells, flow rates and vacuums for the annual reporting period are provided in Tables 1 and 2. In summary:

- The system operated during the annual reporting period for approximately 357 days out of a total 365 days (97.8% uptime).
- An annual rolling average vacuum of -0.1 iwc or greater was maintained at all induced vacuum
  monitoring points throughout the annual reporting period. Data recorded at several wells indicated that
  vacuum induced at the well heads was slightly less than the targeted -0.1 iwc, during September and
  December 2017. Northrop Grumman will continue to proactively manage this issue through condensate
  removal and system rebalancing of the manifold flow.

#### 4.3 Conclusions and Recommendations for O&M

The O&M activities conducted during the annual reporting period met the requirements of the O&M Manual.

#### 5 MONITORING

The following sections summarize the monitoring completed during the annual reporting period (Section 5.1); the 2017 monitoring data, comparisons of the results with applicable AGCs and SGCs, and additional data evaluations describing the performance effectiveness of the OU3 BPSGCS (Section 5.2); and the conclusions and recommendations regarding monitoring for the Site (Section 5.3).

### 5.1 Summary of Monitoring Completed

In general, the monitoring of the OU3 BPSGCS was completed in accordance with the OU3 BPSGCS OM&M Manual (Arcadis 2016). A summary of the monitoring completed during this annual reporting period is provided below:

- Quarterly system performance monitoring:
  - Instantaneous vacuum measurements at compliance measurement points and system operating measurements at influent manifolds, blower inlet and outlet, and system effluent were collected to assess the system performance. Summaries of the measurements are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

- · Quarterly system compliance monitoring:
  - Containment system air quality monitoring was completed to monitor the performance of the containment system and to compare the levels to applicable AGCs and SGCs. Summaries of the results are provided in Tables 3, 4, and 5.

#### 5.2 Summary of Monitoring Results

#### 5.2.1 Containment System Performance Monitoring

#### 5.2.1.1 Annual Reporting Period System Operating Parameters

System operating parameters measured during the annual reporting period are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The system components generally operated within their recommended ranges during the annual reporting period.

#### 5.2.1.2 Vapor Screening

The total effluent screening level vapor samples (i.e., photoionization detector [PID] reading) measured during the annual reporting period are provided in Table 1. The screening results throughout the annual reporting period ranged from 0.0 parts per million by volume (ppmv) (March and June 2017) to 0.1 ppmv (September and December 2017), which is consistent with historic data.

#### 5.2.2 Containment System Compliance Monitoring

#### 5.2.2.1 System Operating Parameters

Instantaneous vacuum measurements in compliance monitoring wells from the annual reporting period and annual time-weighted rolling averages are summarized in Table 2. Quarterly vacuum measurement data from the annual reporting period are also shown on Figure 2.

As shown on Table 2, during the annual reporting period, the instantaneous induced vacuum at all compliance-related monitoring points met or exceeded the minimum performance standard (greater than or equal to -0.1 iwc), with the exceptions of VMWC-12D, VMWC-16B, VMWC-18A, and VMWC-18B. Although instantaneous induced vacuum measurements were slightly lower than -0.1 iwc at VMWC-18A in September 2017, and at VMWC-12D, VMWC-16B, VMWC-18A, and VMWC-18B in December 2017, the annual time-weighted rolling average of induced vacuum readings at all compliance-related monitoring points were maintained at greater than or equal to -0.1 iwc. Therefore, the BPSGCS is meeting the operational compliance objectives.

#### 5.2.2.2 Vapor Sample

Effluent vapor samples were collected on a quarterly basis throughout the annual reporting period. The total volatile organic compound (TVOC) concentrations ranged from 226 micrograms per cubic meter

 $(μg/m^3)$  in March 2017 to 1,113  $μg/m^3$  in June 2017, as shown in Table 3. The Project TVOC concentrations ranged from 198  $μg/m^3$  in March 2017 to 876  $μg/m^3$  in June 2017. The Non-project TVOC concentrations ranged from 28  $μg/m^3$  in March 2017 to 280  $μg/m^3$  in September 2017.

The TVOC concentration in effluent vapor has generally declined since system startup. Figure 4 provides an overview of the concentration trend over the report period. During the reporting period the containment system has removed 20.8 pounds of TVOCs, with 16.6 pounds of Project TVOCs (79.8%) and 4.2 pounds of Non-project TVOCs (20.2%). The containment system has removed a total of 346 pounds of TVOCs, with 275 pounds of Project TVOCs (79.5%) and 71 pounds of Non-project TVOCs (20.5%) since startup in February 18, 2008, as shown on Figure 5. Figure 6 presents the mass removal rate, which has declined since system startup.

Carbon tetrachloride, trichloroethene (tricholoroethylene) and vinyl chloride, environmentally "A"-rated compounds, as defined in DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables, revised August 10, 2016 (NYSDEC 2016), were detected in the effluent vapor sample during the annual reporting period; the concentrations were consistent with historical data. These are three of the four environmentally "A"-rated compounds historically detected in the effluent vapor samples. Benzene was not detected during the annual reporting period.

The concentrations of the tentatively identified compounds (TICs) were consistent with data collected throughout previous annual reporting periods. A total of 2 TICs were identified during the annual reporting period. The most common TIC identified over the annual reporting period was carbon dioxide.

#### 5.2.2.3 Condensate Sample

Collection of a compliance monitoring condensate sample was not required during the annual reporting period, as all condensate was transferred to the BPGWCS system for treatment.

#### 5.2.3 Air Emissions Model

Vapor concentrations for the annual period were compared with the degree of cleaning required pursuant to 6NYCRR III A Part 212-2.3(b) (Rule 212), Table 4 - Degree of Air Cleaning Required for Non-Criteria Air Contaminants. Concentrations of all compounds detected during the Fourth Quarter were less than 40,970 µg/m³ (concentration equivalent to 0.1 pounds per hour at a flow rate of 653 cubic feet per minute), as shown in Table 5 of this report. Therefore, in accordance with the requirements of Table 4 of the NYSDEC regulations, air dispersion modeling was performed to demonstrate that the maximum off-site air concentration is less than the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC values issued August 10, 2016.

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) air quality dispersion model AERMOD was executed to estimate the highest ambient air concentration of the compounds detected during the Fourth Quarter. AERMOD is the USEPA's recommended best state-of-the-art practice Gaussian plume dispersion model. Gaussian models are the most widely used techniques for estimating the impact of non-reactive pollutants, per Appendix W of Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 51 – Guideline of Air Quality Models.

The following parameters were used for the AERMOD model analysis:

- Urban dispersion coefficients
- AERMAP base and terrain elevations, processed using National Elevation Dataset (NED) digitized terrain data
- Surface and upper air observations measured at the Nation Weather Service stations located at
  Farmingdale and Brookhaven airports for calendar years 2011-2015, in accordance with NYSDEC's
  DAR-10 Air Dispersion Modeling Guidance Document. This longer period of time was reviewed for
  the model run, to provide a conservative estimate of atmospheric impacts on the off-site
  concentrations.
- Discrete receptor grids, per the following methodology:
  - Receptors were located along the property boundary at distances not exceeding 25 meters;
  - A 1.5 km x 1.5 km Cartesian grid receptors with distances of 50 meters between the receptors;
     and
  - A 3.0 km x 3.0 km Cartesian grid receptors with distances of 100 meters between the receptors.
- Emission rate: 1 gram per second (g/s)

Based on the AERMOD model analysis, the maximum one-hour ambient air impact from all years of operations is 462.83 [µg/m³]/[g/s] and the maximum annual ambient air impact is 20.02 [µg/m³]/[g/s]. The compound specific scaled hourly ambient air impact and the scaled annual ambient air impact for the Fourth Quarter detections are presented in Table 5. The results demonstrate that the scaled ambient air impacts for the BPSGCS are below the corresponding SGCs and AGCs.

Based on the ambient modeling analysis conducted in the annual reporting period, the BPSGCS continues to meet all of the requirements for DAR-1 and is below the Rule 212 requirements without add on controls (i.e. vapor phase GAC treatment).

#### 6 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 6.1 Conclusions

The following conclusions are provided regarding the performance and ability of the OU3 BPSGCS to comply with the remedial action and compliance objectives:

- OM&M requirements of the OU3 BPSGCS OM&M Manual were met during the annual reporting period.
- The BPSGCS generally operated as designed during the annual reporting period to mitigate the off-site migration of soil gas.
  - The BPSGCS operated continuously with the exception of brief shutdown periods for routine and non-routine maintenance (97.8% uptime).
  - A total of 21 pounds of VOCs were removed from the subsurface during the annual reporting period, and a total of 346 pounds of VOCs were removed since system startup in 2008.

- An annual rolling average vacuum of -0.1 iwc or greater was maintained at all induced vacuum monitoring points throughout the annual reporting period. Data recorded at several wells indicated that vacuum induced at the well heads was slightly less than the targeted -0.1 iwc, during September and December 2017. Northrop Grumman will continue to proactively manage this issue through condensate removal and system rebalancing of the manifold flow.
- The operation of the BPSGCS complied with applicable NYSDEC SCGs during the annual reporting period.
- Effluent vapor emissions met applicable AGC and SGC air discharge criteria during the annual reporting period. Based on the ambient modeling analysis conducted in the annual reporting period, the BPSGCS continues to meet all of the requirements for DAR-1 and is below the Rule 212 requirements without add on controls (i.e. vapor phase GAC treatment).

#### 6.2 Recommendations

Based on the information provided herein, Arcadis recommends to continue operation of the BPSGCS, to maintain compliance with the RAOs. No modifications or upgrades are needed at this time.

#### 7 CERTIFICATION

#### **Statement of Certification**

On behalf of Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation, I hereby certify and attest that the Operable Unit 3 Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System is operated in compliance with the remedial action objectives provided within the NYSDEC approved Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure Work Plan (Arcadis 2007a), which was prepared pursuant to NYSDEC Administrative Order on Consent Index # W1-0018-04-01 (NYSDEC 2005) referencing the Former Grumman Settling Ponds Site and dated July 4, 2005.

Christopher Engler, P.E.

Arisladus & Engles

Engineer of Record License # 069748

#### 8 REFERENCES

- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2007a. Operable Unit 3 Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure Work Plan, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. February 16, 2007.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2007b. 95% Design Report, Operable Unit 3 Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure, Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. September 7, 2007.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2016 Operable Unit 3, Operation, Maintenance, and Monitoring Manual, Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System (Formerly Soil Gas Interim Remedial Measure), Former Grumman Settling Ponds, Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. Revision 3: March 17, 2016.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2011.Remedial Investigation Report (Site Area), Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. Revised: February 8, 2011.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2017a. Results of First Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. May 19, 2017.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2017b. Results of Second Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. August 31, 2017.
- Arcadis of New York, Inc. 2017c. Results of Third Quarter 2017 Operation and Monitoring for the Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System, Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds), Bethpage, New York, Site #1-30-003A. November 24, 2017.
- County of Nassau Department of Public Works (NCDPW). 2007. Letter Regarding Discharge of IRM Condensate Water, Northrop Grumman, OU3 Site, Bethpage, New York. October 16, 2007.
- NCDPW. 2008. Letter Regarding Discharge of IRM Condensate Water Northrop, Grumman, OU3 Site, Bethpage, New York. September 17, 2008.
- New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC). 2005. Order on Consent Index #WI-0018-04-01, Site # 1-30-003A. July 4, 2005.
- NYSDEC. 2008. Letter of Approval For Proposed Modifications. December 12, 2008.
- NYSDEC. 2013. Record of Decision. Northrup Grumman Bethpage Facility, Operable Unit Number: 03, State Superfund Project, Bethpage, Nassau County, Site #1-30-003A. March, 2013.
- NYSDEC, 2016. DAR-1 AGC/SGC Tables. Revised August 10, 2016.
- Zervos, Theodore. 2007. Deposition of Theodore Zervos in the matter Town of Oyster Bay v. Northrop Grumman Systems Corporation et al. Case No. 05-CV-1945 (TCP)(AKT). January 22, 2007.

# TABLES




Table 1
General System Operating Parameters
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



	BVVe	7 S Parami	aue	DW.	7D Paran	eracs	83374	SS Parama	stere:	8147-	SD Param	alers .	3)//-	a a commo	aras	8377	ala Canami	eiere	B)///-	68 Parai	neters	BW-6	i Di Paname	eres
		Andrew Co.	Validation of the control of the con	Participant of the control of the co	A STATE OF THE STA	Validation	10 M	Application of the state of the	Volume		A STATE OF THE STA	Vermin	20 K	New York	1000	10 H	E E E	Velling in	Menters of					
	3.5	3.5	3,4	S GE	1	(A)		Š		3.5	200	100		38	31	ŝĒ		10 A	3.5	New York		38		
Date	scin	ive	IV C	SOFF	IVC	Her	scim	We	Mic	scin	l V C	. IVc	scin	IMC	1990	sch	IVC	1890	5000	lwc.	IWc	seff	itero	iwc
3/24/17	102	-19	-1.8	12	-12	-0.55	6.5	-5.0	-0.30	14	-5.0	-0.44	86	-14	-1.7	14	-14	-2.8	65	-16	-1.6	7.0	-5.0	-1.3
6/14/17	120	-21	-1.6	4.5	-11	-0.50	12	-6.0	-0.33	10	-6.2	-0.42	62	-24	-1.1	13	-13	-2.2	85	-16	-1.7	4.0	-4.3	-1.8
9/21/17	100	-18	-1.8	5.0	-20	-0.56	5.0	-7.5	-0.26	11	-6.0	-0.36	86	-13	-1.3	14	-13	-2.5	80	-15	-1.6	6.2	-5.0	-1.2
3/21/17																								
12/11/17	103	-23	-1.7	8.0	-7.5	-0.49	8.0	-7.0	-0.25	13	-6.5	-0.42	99	-16	-1.6	14	-12	-2.5	95	-19	-1.9	7.0	-5.2	-1.4

Table 1
General System Operating Parameters
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



	B11/-		elers	DW-	(B) Caram	eters		4S Paran	eters	310	40 Param	cicic	DW-	88 (2014)	arara	Disc		G14315	DVA-	28 Paran	eters		20 Paran	al arc
	E. 11.0.11 11.11.11	10.00	10 m		10.00		10 O				100		3.5			210	100	100		100	100		100	
				200				Vertical				A CONTRACTOR		Vertiling				A CHILD		To the second			Vacuum	Wellinger
	sein	100	DV C	SOFT	1876	1000	50111		1946	Sein	10.0	100	ar fin	No.	1946	e estat.	144	lw c	ecto	1996	100	Sein	100	
3/24/17	96	-23	-2.3	6.3	-3.0	-1.7	70	-15	-1.6	7.0	-6.5	-0.84	61	-17	-1.9	36	-13	-1.7	33	-28	-1.5	34	-19	-1.4
6/14/17	90	-22	-1.9	4.6	-2.5	-1.3	69	-14	-1.3	5.0	-6.0	-0.56	65	-17	-1.8	43	-14	-1.7	22	-21	-1.4	39	-23	-2.2
9/21/17	85	-22	-2.0	5.1	-2.5	-1.5	75	-14	-1.5	7.0	-7.0	-0.76	73	-19	-2.1	35	-13	-1.4	33	-26	-2.0	36	-21	-2.2
12/11/17	100	-22	-2.4	5.5	-2.5	-1.6	95	-17	-2.1	9.0	-7.0	-0.87	75	-25	-2.2	42	-13	-1.7	23	-23	-1.6	43	-25	-2.6

Table 1
General System Operating Parameters
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



	5347-4	ISS Baran	Helers	DW-11		MOKOKS		ele Out		Condensate Water Collectes	Elleriner	Parame 200	ioro Bl	Slower	3 (3 (3 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4 (4	eres (B)	Ellower	2000 4000	ers BL-		Company	ed Sillien	Same	216.5
				A Referen		Vacuum	0.00		0.000	5.10 5.10		100000	1016	The state of the s			Inflitent Venimin					100 mm	Entitle in	
Date		T. C.		a de la companya de l					100	Gallons	111		- 12 - 12			- E	100			S is				
3/24/17	29	-13	-1.6	32	-20	-2.3	NA	-38	NA	200	NA	NA	NA	40	2.0		A I A	NI A	N I A			400	1.0	84
6/44/47		oleran araban araba							: :		11/7	IVA	NA	-40	3.0	60.00	NA	NA	NA	641	0.0	100	1.0	04
6/14/17	35	-14	-1.9	34	-23	-2.0	NA	-32	NA	150	NA	NA NA	NA NA	-40 -37	3.0 2.5	60.00	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	641 664	0.0	100	0.0	100
9/21/17	35 34	-14 -15	-1.9 -1.9	34 24	-23 -19	-2.0 -1.6	NA NA	-32 -36	NA NA		ļ													
										150	NA	NA	NA	-37	2.5	60.00	NA	NA	NA	664	0.0	111	0.0	100

General System Operating Parameters Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds) Bethpage, New York



#### Abbreviations, Notes, and Units:

DW Depressurization Well

Not Applicable NA

PID Photoionization Detector

1. Total gallons of water accumulated at storage tank ST-510 per quarter. Values for First and Second Quarter 2017 are estimated based on average volume collected during condensate removal events. Values for Third and Fourth Quarter 2017 are taken from site operator condensate event logs.

2. Total effluent air velocity in feet per minute was measured using a hand-held anemometer at the stack effluent location. The total effluent flow rate in scfm was calculated by multiplying the measured air velocity by the pipe area, the ratio of the standard air temperature to the measured air temperature, and the ratio of the measured air pressure to the standard air pressure.

°F degrees Fahrenheit

gallons gal

Hz Hertz

inches of water column iwc parts per million by volume ppmv standard cubic feet per minute scfm

Table 2
Summary of Induced Vacuum Readings at Compliance Monitoring Points
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



Well ID	DVA	77 S	0.147.57.0	977.445	B) (// S) B)	5.1	2.5	814/258		DW-IS		9.77.48	DW-4D	ĐΜ	3.5		2.5	D) //	-20	D 77	
MPTD		4.5			784947 223											VMVV			V M AVC		8.8
Date	1.5				1970	100	ive	14.0	100		100		1916	ivic	ive	100			10.0	100	
03/24/17	-0.13	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	-0.12	-0.15	-0.16	-0.15	-0.19	-0.16	-0.18	-0.20	-0.13	-0.11	-0.13	-0.17	-0.11	-0.23	-0.29	-0.19	-0.18
06/14/17	-0.12	-0.18	-0.19	-0.11	-0.14	-0.11	-0.11	-0.13	-0.13	-0.14	-0.15	-0.17	-0.15	-0.12	-0.15	-0.12	-0.16	-0.12	-0.28	-0.11	-0.11
09/21/17	-0.10	-0.19	-0.19	-0.12	-0.56	-0.16	-0.14	-0.15	-0.14	-0.15	-0.15	-0.20	-0.27	-0.18	-0.17	-0.12	-0.13	-0.19	-0.24	-0.09	-0.10
12/11/17	-0.10	-0.18	-0.20	-0.12	-0.08	-0.10	-0.11	-0.10	-0.13	-0.14	-0.14	-0.11	-0.77	-0.21	0.00	-0.11	-0.13	-0.27	-0.26	-0.08	-0.09
Time Weighted Rolling  Average <sup>1</sup>	-0.11	-0.19	-0.19	-0.14	-0.34	-0.14	-0.14	-0.14	-0.15	-0.15	-0.16	-0.18	-0.34	-0.17	-0.12	-0.13	-0.12	-0.22	-0.26	-0.11	-0.12

#### Abbreviations, Notes, and Units:

DW Depressurization Well
VMWC Vapor Monitoring Well Cluster

2. Gross average compliance points calculated by summing the induced vacuum values for the noted monitoring event and dividing by the number of readings.

iwc inches of water column

<sup>1.</sup> Compliance goal is -0.1 iwc of vacuum at all compliance monitoring points, based on a twelve-month rolling average. Time weighted rolling average calculated by summing the products of the instantaneous induced vacuum readings and the number of days between readings for a 12-month monitoring period, and dividing by the total time period between the first and last quarterly induced vacuum readings.

Table 3
Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



Compound	Sample ID	VSP.501	VSRASII	VSP col	VSP4681
(Units in Ug/m <sup>2</sup> )			372572	3722287	2.017
Project VOEs	5.5				
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	71-55-6	2.8	12	16	10
1,1-Dichloroethane	75-34-3	< 3.2 U	8.9	13	9.7
1,1-Dichloroethene	75-35-4	< 3.2 U	<7.9 U	0.56 J	< 3.2 U
1,2-Dichloroethane	107-06-2	< 3.2 U	<8.1 U	< 0.81 U	< 3.2 U
Benzene	71-43-2	< 2.6 U	<6.4 U	< 0.64 U	< 2.6 U
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-59-2	110	400	311	358
Tetrachloroethene	127-18-4	< 1.1 U	14	20	8.8
Toluene	108-88-3	< 3.0 U	<7.5 U	1.7	< 3.0 U
rans-1,2-Dichloroethene	156-60-5	< 3.2 U	4.4 J	4.0	2.6 J
Trichloroethylene	79-01-6	85	437	429	378
Vinyl chloride	75-01-4	< 0.41 U	<1.0 U	0.95	< 0.41 U
(ylene-O	95-47-6	< 3.5 U	<8.7 U	0.43 J	< 3.5 U
Kylenes - M,P	1330-20-7	< 3.5 U	<8.7 U	1.2	< 3.5 U
Subtotal Project VOCs		198	876	798	767
Ton Project VOCC					
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	79-34-5	< 2.7 U	<6.9 U	<0.69 U	< 2.7 U
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	79-00-5	< 2.2 U	<5.5 U	<0.55 U	< 2.2 U
1,2-Dichloropropane	78-87-5	< 3.7 U	<9.2 U	<0.92 U	< 3.7 U
1,3-Butadiene	106-99-0	< 1.8 U	<4.4 U	<0.44 U	< 1.8 U
2-Butanone	78-93-3	< 2.4 U	<5.9 U	1.3	< 2.4 U
2-Hexanone	591-78-6	< 3.3 U	<8.2 U	<0.82 U	< 3.3 U
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone	108-10-1	< 3.3 U	<8.2 U	<0.82 U	< 3.3 U
l-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	75-68-3	ND <sup>3</sup>	200	220	69.1
Acetone	67-64-1	4.5	4.5 J	5.5	4.3
Bromodichloromethane	75-27-4	< 2.7 U	<6.7 U	<0.67 U	< 2.7 U
	75-25-2	< 1.7 U	<4.1 U	< 0.41 U	< 1.7 U
Bromomethane	74-83-9	< 3.1 U	<7.8 U	<0.78 U	< 3.1 U
Carbon Disulfide	75-15-0	< 2.5 U	<6.2 U	<0.62 U	< 2.5 U
Carbon Tetrachloride	56-23-5	< 1.0 U	<2.5 U	1.4	< 1.0 U
Chlorobenzene	108-90-7	< 3.7 U	<9.2 U	<0.92 U	< 3.7 U
Chlorodibromomethane	124-48-1	< 3.4 U	<8.5 U	<0.85 U	< 3.4 U

Table 3
Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



Compound units in µg/m³) Ion-Project VOCs	Sample ID Sample Date CAS No	VSP-691 3/24/2817	VSP-801 6/23/2017	VSP-601 97-27-017	2
Chloroethane	75-00-3	< 2.1 U	<5.3 U	<0.53 U	< 2.1 U
Chlorodifluoromethane (Freon 22)	75-45-6	< 2.8 U	2.3 J	<0.070 U	< 2.8 U
Chloroform	67-66-3	2.7 J	25	45	33
Chloromethane	74-87-3	1.3 J	<4.1 U	<0.41 U	< 1.7 U
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-01-5	< 3.6 U	<9.1 U	<0.91 U	< 3.6 U
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	75-71-8	2.7 J	<9.9 U	3.8	3.0 J
Ethylbenzene	100-41-4	< 3.5 U	<8.7 U	<0.87 U	< 3.5 U
Trichlorotrifluoroethane (Freon 113)	76-13-1	< 3.1 U	<7.7 U	0.74 J	< 3.1 U
Methyl Tert-Butyl Ether	1634-04-4	< 2.9 U	<7.2 U	<0.72 U	< 2.9 U
Methylene Chloride	75-09-2	14	4.5 J	<0.69 U	3.8
Styrene	100-42-5	< 3.4 U	<8.5 U	<0.85 U	< 3.4 U
Trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	10061-02-6	< 3.6 U	<9.1 U	<0.91 U	< 3.6 U
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	75-69-4	2.7	<5.6 U	2.1	< 2.2 U
Subtotal Non-Project VOCs		28	236	280	113

#### Table 3

Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds) Bethpage, New York



#### Abbreviations, Notes, Qualifiers, and Units:

CAS No. Chemical Abstracts Service list number

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Approval Program

NA Not Analyzed ND Not Detected

NYSDOH New York State Department of Health

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation.

TIC Tentatively Identifed Compound
TVOC Total Volatile Organic Compounds

USEPA United States Environmental Protection Agency

VOC Volatile Organic Compound

- 1. Vapor samples collected by Arcadis and submitted to a NYSDOH ELAP certified laboratory for VOC analyses per Modified USEPA Method TO-15.
- 2. TVOC determined by summing individual detections and rounding to the nearest whole number.
- 3. In the 2017 first quarter sampling event (03/24/2017), target compound 1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b) was reported as a Tentatively Indentified Compound (TIC), due to the expiration of the laboratory standard.
- 4. 6/23/2017 sample taken 7 days after parameter and vacuum readings.
- 5. 9/22/2017 sample taken 1 day after parameter and vacuum readings.
- 2.8 Bolding indicates that the analyte was detected at or above its reporting limit
- < 1.0 U Compound not detected above its laboratory quantification limit
- D Concentration is based on diluted sample analysis
- J Compound detected below its reporting limit; value is estimated

μg/m<sup>3</sup> micrograms per cubic meter

#### Table 4

Total Effluent Vapor Sample Analytical Results
Tentatively Identified Compounds
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



Sample Date	VSP-501 3/24/2017 ppbv	822		
Carbon Dioxide	1100 JNB	ND	35 JNB	690 JNB
Unknown	ND	ND	10 J	ND

#### Abbreviations, Notes, Qualifiers, and Units:

ND Not Detected

ELAP Environmental Laboratory Approval Program

NYSDOH New York State Department of Health USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

VOC Volatile Organic Compound

- Vapor samples collected by Arcadis on the dates shown and submitted to a NYSDOH ELAP certified laboratory for VOC analyses per Modified USEPA Method TO-15.
- 2. Tentatively identified compounds are identified based on review of mass spectrometry results via a comprehensive library search of all organic compounds.
- 3. VSP-601 6/23/2017 sample taken 7 days after parameter and vacuum readings.
- 4. VSP-601 9/22/2017 sample taken 1 day after parameter and vacuum readings.

B Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

J Indicates an estimated value

JN Compound tentatively identified, concentration is estimated

ppbv parts per billion by volume

Table 5
Air Quality Impact Analysis
Bethpage Park Soil Gas Containment System
Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)
Bethpage, New York



Toxic Air Contaminant	case	VSP-601 Vapor Effluent (µg/m²)				Control Imporei	Scaled Impact Annual	Sec.	A COLO		e e
			Bry			(Marine)	(40111)				
Profession											
1,1,1 - Trichloroethane	00071-55-6	10	2.1E-01	2.4E-05	3.1E-06	1.4E-03	6.2E-05	9,000	5,000	0.0%	0.0%
1,1 - Dichloroethane	00075-34-3	9.7	2.1E-01	2.4E-05	3.0E-06	1.4E-03	6.0E-05	NS	0.63	NS	0.0%
Tetrachloroethene	00127-18-4	8.8	1.9E-01	2.1E-05	2.7E-06	1.3E-03	5.4E-05	300	4.0	0.0	0.0%
Trichloroethene	00079-01-6	378	8.1E+00	9.2E-04	1.2E-04	5.4E-02	2.3E-03	20	0.2	0.3%	1.2%
cis 1,2-Dichloroethene	00156-59-2	358	7.7E+00	8.7E-04	1.1E-04	5.1E-02	2.2E-03	NS	63	NS	0.0%
trans 1,2-Dichloroethene	00156-60-5	2.6	5.6E-02	6.3E-06	8.0E-07	3.7E-04	1.6E-05	NS	63	NS	0.0%
Non-Project VSCs											
Acetone	00067-64-1	4.3	9.2E-02	1.0E-05	1.3E-06	6.1E-04	2.6E-05	180,000	30,000	0.0%	0.0%
Chloroform	00067-66-3	33	7.1E-01	8.1E-05	1.0E-05	4.7E-03	2.0E-04	150	14.7	0.0%	0.0%
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	00075-71-8	3.0	6.4E-02	7.3E-06	9.2E-07	4.3E-04	1.8E-05	NS	12,000	NS	0.0%
Methylene Chloride	00075-09-2	3.8	8.1E-02	9.3E-06	1.2E-06	5.4E-04	2.3E-05	14,000	60	0.0%	0.0%
1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (Freon 142b)	00075-68-3	69.1	1.5E+00	1.7E-04	2.1E-05	9.8E-03	4.3E-04	NS	50,000	NS	0.0%

#### Table 5 Air Quality Impact Analysis Bethpage Park Soli Gas Containment System Operable Unit 3 (Former Grumman Settling Ponds)

ARCADIS Occasión ARCADIS Occasión ARCADIS Occasión ARCADIS

#### Abbreviations, Notes, and Units:

Bethpage, New York

AGC Annual Guideline Concentration

CAS# Chemical Abstracts Service Registry Number

DAR-1 Division of Air Resources-1

NS None Specified

NYSDEC New York State Department of Environmental Conservation

SGC Short-term Guideline Concentration

VSP Vapor Sampling Point

1. Emission rate calculated based on VSP-601 effluent concentration and an exit air flow rate of 653 ft 3/min for 12/11/2017.

TCE (lb/hr) = TCE  $[\mu g/m^3]$  x Air Flow Rate  $[ft^3/min]$  x (1  $m^3/35.3147$   $ft^3$ ) x (60 min/hr) x (0.000001 g/1 ug) x (0.0022 lb/g)

 $lb/yr = lb/hr \times 8,760 hrs/yr$ 

 $g/s = lb/hr \times 1 hr/ 3,600 sec \times 453.59 g/lb$ 

2. Ambient impact based on AERMOD modeling using normalized rate of 1 g/s is scaled to the actual emission rate of the pollutant. Modeling was performed using the representative meteorological data from the nearest station (Farmingdale) for the years 2011 through 2015. The maximum impact from all the years was used for the calculations.

Scaled hourly impact ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) = AERMOD predicted hourly ambient impact at 1 g/s ( $[\mu g/m^3]/[g/s]$ ) x Actual emission rate (g/s) Scaled annual impact ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) = AERMOD predicted annual ambient impact at 1 g/s ( $[\mu g/m^3]/[g/s]$ ) x Actual emission rate (g/s)

APPMODIN	omestizo di Antidoni
	act at 1 a/s
	August 190 in March
462.83	20.02


- 3. Short-term and annual guideline concentrations specified in the NYSDEC DAR-1 AGC/SGC tables revised August 10, 2016.
- 4. Only contaminants with detected concentrations are included in the table.


ft³/min cubic feet per minute g/s grams per second


μg/m<sup>3</sup> micrograms per cubic meter


lb/hr pounds per hour


# FIGURES











Notes:

μg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter

TVOCs = total VOCs detected

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Total Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Total Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.

- 1. Samples were collected at Vapor Sample Port-601 (VSP-601); refer to Figure 3 of this OM&M report for the location of VSP-601.
- 2.Results prior to March 3, 2008 are not shown to improve figure clarity. The TVOC concentrations and sample dates are as follows: February 18, 2008 20,622  $\mu$ g/m³, February 19, 2008 14,519  $\mu$ g/m³, and February 25, 2008 8,196  $\mu$ g/m³.
- 3. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and is not included in this figure. The TVOC concentration for December 3, 2010 was 13  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>.


NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM BETHPAGE, NEW YORK, OPERABLE UNIT 3 (FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)

SOIL GAS VOC CONCENTRATIONS



FIGURE

4



Notes:

TVOCs = total VOCs detected

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Total Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-

dichloroethene; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Total Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.


1. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and thus, the recovery rate is not included in this table.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION
BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM
BETHPAGE, NEW YORK, OPERABLE UNIT 3
(FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)

CUMULATIVE TOTAL, PROJECT, AND NON-PROJECT VOC MASS REMOVED



FIGURE 5



Notes:

TVOCs = total VOCs detected.

VOCs = volatile organic compounds

Total Project VOCs = Sum of 1,1,1-trichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; 1,2-dichloroethane; 1,1-dichloroethane; tetrachloroethene; trichloroethene; vinyl chloride; cis-1,2-dichloroethene; trans-1,2-dichloroethene; benzene; toluene; and total xylenes.

Total Non-Project VOCs = Sum of VOCs that are not Project VOCs.

- 1. Results prior to March 3, 2008 are not shown to improve figure clarity. The TVOC concentrations and sample dates are as follows: February 19, 2008 2.2 lbs/day and February 25, 2008 1.3 lbs/day.
- 2. The sample results from December 3, 2010 were not consistent with historical data and thus the recovery rate is not included in this figure. The TVOC concentration for December 3, 2010 was 13 µg/L.

NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION BETHPAGE PARK SOIL GAS CONTAINMENT SYSTEM BETHPAGE, NEW YORK, OPERABLE UNIT 3 (FORMER GRUMMAN SETTLING PONDS)

**VOC MASS RECOVERY RATES** 



FIGURE 6



Arcadis of New York, Inc.

Two Huntington Quadrangle
Suite 1S10
Melville, New York 11747
Tel 631 249 7600
Fax 631 249 7610

www.arcadis.com